



INSTITUTE OF AERONAUTICAL ENGINEERING

(Autonomous)

Dundigal, Hyderabad -500 043

AERONAUTICAL ENGINEERING

COURSE DESCRIPTOR

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|-----------|---------|------------|---------|
| Course Title | COMPUTATIONAL STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY | | | | |
| Course Code | AAE111 | | | | |
| Programme | B.Tech | | | | |
| Semester | VII | AE | | | |
| Course Type | Core | | | | |
| Regulation | IARE - R16 | | | | |
| Course Structure | Theory | | | Practical | |
| | Lectures | Tutorials | Credits | Laboratory | Credits |
| | - | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| Chief Coordinator | Mr. G S D Madhav, Assistant Professor | | | | |
| Course Faculty | Mr. G S D Madhav, Assistant Professor Ms. Y Shwetha, Assistant Professor | | | | |

I. COURSE OVERVIEW:

The aim of this lab complements the computational structural analysis course. Students will gain experience in computing structural problems and understanding flow physics over flat plate, pipe, cylinder, over a wedge and flow over an airfoil. They can gain knowledge in estimating flow analysis for different mach numbers in determining the pressure coefficients over different structural objects and can find lift and drag counters.

II. COURSE PRE-REQUISITES:

| Level | Course Code | Semester | Prerequisites | Credits |
|-------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------|
| UG | AAE002 | III | Theory of Structures | 4 |
| UG | AAE006 | IV | Analysis of Aircraft Structures | 4 |
| UG | AAE009 | V | Finite Element Methods | 4 |

III. MARKS DISTRIBUTION:

| Subject | SEE Examination | CIA Examination | Total Marks |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Computational Structural Analysis Laboratory | 70 Marks | 30 Marks | 100 |

IV. DELIVERY / INSTRUCTIONAL METHODOLOGIES:

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|----------|---|--------------|---|--------|
| ✗ | Chalk & Talk | ✗ | Quiz | ✗ | Assignments | ✗ | MOOCs |
| ✓ | LCD / PPT | ✗ | Seminars | ✗ | Mini Project | ✓ | Videos |
| ✓ | Open Ended Experiments | | | | | | |

V. EVALUATION METHODOLOGY:

Each laboratory will be evaluated for a total of 100 marks consisting of 30 marks for internal assessment and 70 marks for semester end lab examination. Out of 30 marks of internal assessment, continuous lab assessment will be done for 20 marks for the day to day performance and 10 marks for the final internal lab assessment.

Semester End Examination (SEE): The semester end lab examination for 70 marks shall be conducted by two examiners, one of them being Internal Examiner and the other being External Examiner, both nominated by the Principal from the panel of experts recommended by Chairman, BOS.

The emphasis on the experiments is broadly based on the following criteria:

| | |
|------|--|
| 20 % | To test the preparedness for the experiment. |
| 20 % | To test the performance in the laboratory. |
| 20 % | To test the calculations and graphs related to the concern experiment. |
| 20 % | To test the results and the error analysis of the experiment. |
| 20 % | To test the subject knowledge through viva – voce. |

Continuous Internal Assessment (CIA):

CIA is conducted for a total of 30 marks (Table 1), with 20 marks for continuous lab assessment during day to day performance, 10 marks for final internal lab assessment.

Table 1: Assessment pattern for CIA

| Component | Laboratory | | Total Marks |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | Day to day performance | Final internal lab assessment | |
| CIA Marks | 20 | 10 | 30 |

Continuous Internal Examination (CIE):

One CIE exams shall be conducted at the end of the 16th week of the semester. The CIE exam is conducted for 10 marks of 3 hours duration.

| Preparation | Performance | Calculations and Graph | Results and Error Analysis | Viva | Total |
|-------------|-------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------|-------|
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 |

VI. HOW PROGRAM OUTCOMES ARE ASSESSED:

| Program Outcomes (POs) | | Strength | Proficiency assessed by |
|------------------------|--|----------|-------------------------------------|
| PO 1 | Engineering knowledge: Apply the knowledge of mathematics, science, engineering fundamentals, and an engineering specialization to the solution of complex engineering problems. | 2 | Calculations of the observations |
| PO 2 | Problem analysis: Identify, formulate, review research literature, and analyze complex engineering problems reaching substantiated conclusions using first principles of mathematics, natural sciences, and engineering sciences. | 3 | Calculations of the observations |
| PO 3 | Design/development of solutions: Design solutions for complex engineering problems and design system components or processes that meet the specified needs with appropriate consideration for the public health and safety, and the cultural, societal, and environmental considerations. | 3 | Lab Practices |
| PO 4 | Conduct investigations of complex problems: Use research-based knowledge and research methods including design of experiments, analysis and interpretation of data, and synthesis of the information to provide valid conclusions. | 2 | Term observations |
| PO 5 | Modern tool usage: Create, select, and apply appropriate techniques, resources, and modern engineering and IT tools including prediction and modeling to complex engineering activities with an understanding of the limitations. | 2 | Presentation on real-world problems |

3 = High; 2 = Medium; 1 = Low

VII. HOW PROGRAM SPECIFIC OUTCOMES ARE ASSESSED:

| Program Specific Outcomes (PSOs) | | Strength | Proficiency assessed by |
|----------------------------------|--|----------|-------------------------------------|
| PSO 1 | Professional skills: Able to utilize the knowledge of aeronautical/aerospace engineering in innovative, dynamic and challenging environment for design and development of new products | 2 | Lab Practices |
| PSO 2 | Problem-solving Skills: Imparted through simulation language skills and general purpose CAE packages to solve practical, design and analysis problems of components to complete the challenge of airworthiness for flight vehicles. | 2 | Guest Lectures |
| PSO 3 | Practical implementation and testing skills: Providing different types of in house and training and industry practice to fabricate and test and develop the products with more innovative technologies | 1 | Presentation on real-world problems |
| PSO 4 | Successful career and entrepreneurship: To prepare the students with broad aerospace knowledge to design and develop systems and subsystems of aeronautical/aerospace allied systems to become technocrats. | - | - |

3 = High; 2 = Medium; 1 = Low

VIII. COURSE OBJECTIVES (COs):

| The course should enable the students to: | |
|---|--|
| I | To apply the basic principles learnt from pre-requisites subjects to solve the structural problem. |
| II | To adopt any computational structural analysis software and learns how to perform analysis. |
| III | Analyze structural problems related to Aerospace industry. |
| IV | Interpret the results and how to apply them on the real-life structure. |

IX. COURSE LEARNING OUTCOMES (CLOs):

| CLO Code | CLO's | At the end of the course, the student will have the ability to: | PO's Mapped | Strength of Mapping |
|-----------|--------|---|------------------|---------------------|
| AAE111.01 | CLO 1 | Understand the basic features of an analysis package. | PO 1 | 3 |
| AAE111.02 | CLO 2 | Understand how to apply the theoretical process to solve the problem computationally. | PO 1, PO 3, PO 4 | 3 |
| AAE111.03 | CLO 3 | Build the mathematical model using modern tools to formulate the problem. | PO 3, PO 4, PO 5 | 3 |
| AAE111.04 | CLO 4 | Computationally solve the 2D and 3D trusses, beams, plates under various loadings. | PO 1, PO 2 | 2 |
| AAE111.05 | CLO 5 | Determined different stresses, deflections, shear force and bending moment diagrams. | PO 1, PO 2 | 3 |
| AAE111.06 | CLO 6 | Demonstrate the modal analysis on different structural members of different materials. | PO 1, PO 2 | 2 |
| AAE111.07 | CLO 7 | Calculate the natural frequencies under various boundary conditions and analyze with forcing functions. | PO 2, PO 3 | 1 |
| AAE111.08 | CLO 8 | Analyze the non-linear behavior of the material to determine the large deflections. | PO 2, PO 3 | 1 |
| AAE111.09 | CLO 9 | Illustrate the harmonic responses of the spring-mass systems and interpret them for real time problem. | PO 1, PO 2, PO 3 | 2 |
| AAE111.10 | CLO 10 | Model the 3D components and execute the results for the applied loads to measure the results. | PO 1, PO 2 | 2 |
| AAE111.11 | CLO 11 | Apply the static analysis results to assess the dynamic behavior of the structure. | PO 2, PO 3 | 3 |
| AAE111.12 | CLO 12 | Identify tshe forces acting on landing gear and analyze the basic landing gear to find the stresses. | PO 1, PO 2 | 3 |
| AAE111.13 | CLO 13 | Use the ANSYS ACP application for building the composite structure. | PO 2, PO 3 | 3 |
| AAE111.14 | CLO 14 | Examine the composite behavior of the structure and evaluate the results. | PO 2, PO 3, PO 4 | 2 |

| | | | | |
|-----------|--------|---|------------|---|
| AAE111.15 | CLO 15 | Work on ANSYS APDL & Workbench platforms to evaluate the results for basic aerospace structure. | PO 2, PO 3 | 2 |
|-----------|--------|---|------------|---|

3 = High; 2 = Medium; 1 = Low

X. MAPPING COURSE LEARNING OUTCOMES LEADING TO THE ACHIEVEMENT OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM SPECIFIC OUTCOMES:

| Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs) | Program Outcomes (POs) | | | | | | | | | | | | Program Specific Outcomes (PSOs) | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|----------------------------------|------|------|------|
| | PO1 | PO2 | PO3 | PO4 | PO5 | PO6 | PO7 | PO8 | PO9 | PO10 | PO11 | PO12 | PSO1 | PSO2 | PSO3 | PSO4 |
| CLO 1 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLO 2 | 3 | | 3 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| CLO 3 | | | 3 | 3 | 2 | | | | | | | | 2 | 2 | 1 | |
| CLO 4 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLO 5 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLO 6 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLO 7 | | 3 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLO 8 | | 3 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLO 9 | 2 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLO 10 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 2 | | |
| CLO 11 | | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLO 12 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 1 | | |
| CLO 13 | | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLO 14 | | 2 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 2 | 1 | |
| CLO 15 | | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

3 = High; 2 = Medium; 1 = Low

XI. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES – DIRECT

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---|---------------|---|
| CIE Exams | PO 1, PO 2 PO 3, PO 4, PO 5 | SEE Exams | PO 1, PO 2 PO 3, PO 4, PO 5 | Assignments | - | Seminars | - |
| Laboratory Practices | PO 1, PO 2 PO 3, PO 4, PO 5 | Student Viva | - | Mini Project | - | Certification | - |

XII. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES - INDIRECT

| | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------|
| ✓ | Early Semester Feedback | ✓ | End Semester OBE Feedback |
| ✗ | Assessment of Mini Projects by Experts | | |

XIII. SYLLABUS

| LIST OF EXPERIMENTS | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Week-1 | INTRODUCTION AND BASIC FUCTIONS |
| | a. Starting up of ANSYS/Nastran b. Description of user interface |
| Week-2 | STATIC ANALYSIS: TRUSS AND FRAME STRUCTURES |
| | a. 2-D truss structures b. 3-D truss structures |
| Week-3 | STATIC ANALYSIS: BEAMS |
| | a. Straight beams b. Tapered beams |
| Week-4 | STATIC ANALYSIS: TWO DIMENSIONAL PROBLEMS |
| | a. 2-D structure with various loadings b. 2-D structures with different materials c. Plate with hole |
| Week-5 | DYNAMIC ANALYSIS: MODAL AND TRANSIENT ANALYSES |
| | a. Modal analysis b. Transient Response (spring-mass system) |
| Week-6 | THERMAL ANALYSIS |
| | a. Bars and beams b. 2D structures |
| Week-7 | NON LINEAR ANALYSIS |
| | a. Nonlinear behavior (Large deflections) b. Nonlinear behavior (Materials) |
| Week-8 | HARMONIC RESPONSE ANALYSIS |
| | a. Random Vibration Analysis of a Deep Simply-Supported Beam b. Harmonic Response of a Spring-Mass System |
| Week-9 | ANALYSIS OF AIRCARFT STRUCTURE: WING |
| | a. Static analysis of Aircraft wing structure b. Modal analysis of aircraft wing structure |
| Week-10 | ANALYSIS OF AIRCARFT STRUCTURE:FUSELAGE |
| | a. Static analysis of Aircraft Semi monoque fuselage structure b. Modal analysis of aircraft Semi monoque fuselage structure |
| Week-11 | ANALYSIS OF AIRCARFT STRUCTURE:LANDING GEAR |
| | a. Static analysis of main landing gear b. Modal analysis of main landing gear |
| Week-12 | ANALYSIS OF COMPOSITE STRUCTURES |
| | a. Static analysis of composite bar and beam b. Static analysis of composite plate |

| |
|--|
| Text Books: |
| 1. Huei-Huang Lee, “Finite Element Simulations with ANSYS Workbench 16”, SDC publications, 2 nd Edition, 2016. |
| 2. Anderson, William J “MSC/Nastran: Interactive Training Program” Wiley 1 st Edition 2015. |
| 3. “ANSYS Mechanical APDL Basic Analysis Guide”, ANSYS, Inc Release 16.0. |
| Web References: |
| 1. https://www.ansys.com/services/learning-hub |
| 2. https://caeai.com/ansys-software-support/ansys-software/mechanical-simulation-software |

XIV. COURSE PLAN:

The course plan is meant as a guideline. Probably there may be changes.

| Week No. | Topics to be covered | Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs) | Reference |
|----------|--|---------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction to Computational Structures | CLO 1 , CLO 2 | T1: 1.2 |
| 2 | Introduction to ANSYS APDL | CLO 3 | T3: 3.5 |
| 3 | APDL User interface, preprocessing, post processing & modeling. | CLO 3 | T1: 3.4 |
| 4 | Static analysis of 2D & 3D truss at various loading conditions. | CLO 4 | T1: 2.2 |
| 5 | Static analysis of different beams under various loading conditions. | CLO 5 | T1: 2.4 |
| 6 | Static analysis of plate with a cutout for various materials. | CLO6, CLO 7 | T1: 4.5 |
| 7 | Modal & transient analysis of beams for various materials. | CLO 10, CLO 12 | T2: 2.6 |
| 8 | Non-linear analysis of the mild steel beam for large deflection. | CLO 11, CLO 12 | T2: 2.6 |
| 9 | Harmonic analysis of the spring mass system. | CLO 8, CLO 13, CLO 15 | T1: 5.2 |
| 10 | Static analysis of aircraft wing structure. | CLO 9, CLO 13, CLO 15 | T1: 5.2 |
| 11 | Static and modal analysis of main landing gear. | CLO 14, CLO 15 | T1:7.2 |
| 12 | Static analysis of composite beam and plate. | CLO 15 | T1:7.3 |

XV. GAPS IN THE SYLLABUS - TO MEET INDUSTRY / PROFESSION REQUIREMENTS:

| S No | Description | Proposed actions | Relevance with POs | Relevance with PSOs |
|------|--|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | To improve standards and analyze the concepts. | Guest Lectures | PO 1, PO 2, PO 4 | PSO 1, PSO 2 |
| 2 | Encourage students to solve real time applications and prepare towards competitive examinations. . | NPTEL | PO 2, PO 3 | PSO 1, PSO 2 |

Prepared by:

Mr. G.S.D Madhav, Assistant Professor

HOD, AE