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## Unit-I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fundamentals of MOSFETs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak &amp; strong inversion conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold voltage concept in MOSFETs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current - voltage characteristics of a MOSFET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOSFET parasitics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trends &amp; projections in VLSI design &amp; technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaling in MOS devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects in scaling of MOS devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCMOS technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMOS nanotechnology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fundamentals of MOSFETs

Classes of Field Effect Transistors

✓ Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor
✓ To be studied in this course
✓ Metal-Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor
✓ Junction Field Effect Transistor
✓ High Electron Mobility Transistor or Modulation
  Doped FET
✓ Fast Reverse / Fast Recovery Epitaxial Diode
✓ DNA Field Effect Transistor
✓ The conduction path is through a strand of DNA
Field Effect Transistors

The conductivity (or resistivity) of the path between two contacts, the source and the drain, is altered by the voltage applied to the gate. Device is also known as a voltage controlled resistor.
Types of MOSFETS

- n-channel Enhancement Mode (nMOSFET)
- p-channel Enhancement Mode (pMOSFET)
- n-channel Depletion Mode (nMOSFET)
- p-channel Depletion Mode (pMOSFET)
Cross-Sectional View of n channel planar Enhancement Mode Transistor
p channel
Enhancement Mode Transistor
n channel
Depletion Mode Transistor
p channel
Depletion Mode Transistor
Symbols for n channel Enhancement Mode MOSFET

\[ V_{GS} \geq 0 \text{V}, \quad V_{DS} \geq 0 \text{V} \]

\( V_{TN} \) is positive
Symbols for p channel Enhancement Mode MOSFET

- $v_{GS}$
- $v_{DS}$
- $i_D$

$V_{TP}$ is negative
Symbols for n channel Depletion Mode MOSFET
Symbols for p channel Depletion Mode MOSFET
MOS Capacitor

Gate

Metal

Oxide

Semiconductor

Substrate or Body

$T_{ox}$
MOS Capacitor

Parallel plate capacitor

Accumulation

Positive gate bias

Electrons attracted to gate
Negative gate bias: Holes attracted to gate

Depletion

Inversion
MOS Capacitor: p-type semiconductor

Accumulation  Depletion  Inversion
Threshold Voltage

The gate voltage that causes the concentration of electrons immediately under the gate oxide is equal to the concentration of holes is called the threshold voltage.

- Enhancement mode FETs
  - NMOS $V_G = V_{TN}$
    - When enough electrons have been attracted to the oxide-semiconductor interface to create a path for current to flow between the source and drain.
  - PMOS $V_G = V_{TP}$
    - When holes have been attracted to the oxide-semiconductor interface to create a path for current to flow between the source and drain.
Threshold Voltage

• Depletion mode FETs
  ○ NMOS $V_G = V_{TN}$
    • When holes have been attracted to the oxide-semiconductor interface to stop current from flowing between the source and drain.
  ○ PMOS $V_G = V_{TP}$
    • When electrons have been attracted to the oxide-semiconductor interface to stop current from flowing between the source and drain.
MOSFETS

Before electron inversion layer is formed

After electron inversion layer is formed
Family of $I_D$ Versus $V_{DS}$ Curves

Enhancement-Mode nMOSFET

- **Nonsaturation** $V_{TN}$
- **Pinch-off/Saturation** $V_{DS} > V_{GS} - V_{TN}$
- **Cut-off** $V_{GS} < V_{TN}$
Family of $I_D$ Versus $V_{DS}$ Curves:

Depletion-Mode nMOS

Assuming that $V_{TN} < -1V$
## Summary of I-V Relationships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>NMOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonsaturation/Triode</strong></td>
<td>$V_{DS} &lt; V_{DS}(sat)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$I_D = k'<em>n \frac{W}{L} \left( (V</em>{GS} - V_{TN})V_{DS} - \frac{1}{2}V_{DS}^2 \right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_{DSon} = \frac{V_{DS}}{I_D}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Saturation/Pinch-off</strong></td>
<td>$V_{DS} &gt; V_{DS}(sat)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$I_D = \frac{k'<em>n}{2} \left( \frac{W}{L} \right) [V</em>{GS} - V_{TN}]^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transition between triode and pinch-off</strong></td>
<td>$V_{DS}(sat) = V_{GS} - V_{TN}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enhancement Mode</strong></td>
<td>$V_{TN} &gt; 0 \text{ V, } I_D \geq 0 \text{ mA, }</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Depletion layer forms

\[ i_G = \frac{\partial Q'_G}{\partial t} \]

\[ i_B = \frac{\partial Q'_B}{\partial t} \]

\[ C'_{GG} = \frac{\partial Q'_G}{\partial V_G} \]

\[ C'_{BG} = \frac{\partial Q'_B}{\partial V_G} \]
ON CONDITION (Strong Inversion)

\[ i_G = \frac{\partial Q'_G}{\partial t}, \quad i_B = \frac{\partial Q'_B}{\partial t} \]
\[ i_S = \frac{\partial Q'_{nS}}{\partial t}, \quad i_D = \frac{\partial Q'_{nD}}{\partial t} \]
\[ C'_{SG} = \frac{\partial Q'_{nS}}{\partial V_G} \]
Nodes relate to the DRAM half pitch, i.e., the width, and space in between, metal lines connecting DRAM bit cells.

CMOS: the Industrial drive

Intel research devices scale to 10nm (16nm node) Channel engineering solutions (Nanowires/Nanotubes) are being investigated to extend device scaling through end of next decade.
Logic speed is about Q and I

\[ \tau = \frac{C \Delta V}{I} \]

\[ I_D = Z C_{ox} \left[ V_{GS} - V_{T0} - m \frac{V_{DS}}{2} \right] \cdot \mu_{eff} \frac{V_{DS}}{L} \]

Need:

- high \( \mu \) - certainly
- Low \( L \) - but it adversely affects \( V_T \)
- High \( C_{ox} \) - but low \( C_{ox}ZL \)
- Low \( V_{DD} \) - but it adversely affects \( I_{ON} \)
- Low \( V_T \) - but it adversely affects \( I_{SUBT} \)
1. Increasing $I_{ON}$ via mobility improvement
2. Reducing gate leakage via thicker, high-$k$ dielectrics
3. Controlling $V_T$ and $I_{subt}$ via suppression of the short-channel effect
Moore’s Law economics...

- Moore’s Law - #DRAM Bits per chip doubles every 18 months
- ~25% bigger chips/wafers
- ~25% design improvements
- ~50% Lithography – ability to print smaller features

Exponentials!!
(461 B tonnes)
When the chip’s down...

- With feature size shrink of $\sqrt{2}$ (typical generation)
  - 2x #transistors/unit area
  - 2X Higher speed ($f_{\text{max}}$)
  - Fixed cost per wafer
  - Smaller (2x), Faster (2x), cheaper – strong economic driving force
  - 30% improvement in cost per function per year
# CMOS Device Scaling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Scaling Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>$W, L, x_{ox}, x_j$</td>
<td>$1/\lambda$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentials</td>
<td>$V_{ds}, V_{gs}$</td>
<td>$1/k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doping Concentration</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>$\lambda^2/k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Field</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>$\lambda/k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>$I_{ds}$</td>
<td>$\lambda/k^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate Delay</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$k/\lambda^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\lambda$ = dimensional scaling factor  
$k$ = supply voltage scaling factor
**Constant Field Scaling:** keep $E$ constant in channel $k=\lambda$

**Constant Voltage Scaling:** keep supply voltage constant $k=1$ (used for submicron scaling)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Const Field</th>
<th>Const Volt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>$1/\lambda$</td>
<td>$1/\lambda$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentials</td>
<td>$1/\lambda$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doping Concentration</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>$\lambda^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Field</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>$1/\lambda$</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate Delay</td>
<td>$1/\lambda$</td>
<td>$1/\lambda^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How much channel charge does the gate control?
Threshold Voltage Shift

- **Threshold Voltage**

\[ V_T = \psi_s + V_i = 2\psi_B - \frac{Q_B}{C_i} \]

\[ \Delta V_T = V_T(\text{short}) - V_T(\text{long}) = \frac{(Q_{BS} - Q_{BL})}{C_i} \]

- **Long Channel transistor:**

\[ Q_{BL} = -qN_A \frac{ZLW_{\text{max}}}{ZL} - qN_A W_{\text{max}} \]

\[ Q_{BL} = -qN_A \frac{ZLW_{\text{max}}}{ZL} - qN_A W_{\text{max}} \]
Charge control region...

- **Short Channel transistor:** charge in trapezoidal region

\[
Q_{BS} = -qN_A \left( \frac{L + L'}{2} \right) ZW_{max} = -qN_A W_{max} \frac{L + L'}{2L}
\]

\[
\Delta V_T = \frac{(Q_{BS} - Q_{BL})}{C_i} = -\frac{qN_A W_{max}}{C_i} \left( 1 - \frac{L + L'}{2L} \right)
\]
Velocity Saturation Effect – suppressed drain current

\[ g_{m-sat} \equiv \left. \frac{\partial I_D}{\partial V_G} \right|_{sat} = ZC_i \nu_{sat} = const. \]
CMOS Inverter Latch-up – p-well technology
• No body effect parameter (depletion width fixed)

• Junction to substance parasitic capacitance small (faster switch!)

• No latch-up between NMOS and PMOS (no substrate)
Band diagram in SOIs
BiCMOS technology combines Bipolar and CMOS transistors onto a single integrated circuit where the advantages of both can be utilized.

**Advantages of BiCMOS Technology**

- Improved speed over CMOS
- Lower power dissipation than Bipolar
- Flexible input/outputs
- High performance analog
- Latch up immunity
BiCMOS technology has evolved from CMOS processes in order to obtain the highest CMOS performance possible.

The bipolar processing steps have been added to the core CMOS flow to realize the desired device characteristics such as adding masks for bipolar transistor (BJT) fabrication.
We start up with a lightly-doped P-type wafer and form the buried N+ layer by ion implantation of antimony. The pattern is etched in a thick oxide covering the substrate. The structure before the antimony implantation:

**Figure 1:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process showing N+ buried layer implant.
A high temperature anneal is performed to remove damage defects and to diffuse the antimony into the substrate. During this anneal an oxide is grown in the buried N+ windows. To achieve breakdown between the buried N+ regions a self-aligned punch through implant is performed. Therefore, the nitride mask is selectively removed and the remaining oxide serves as blocking mask for the buried P-layer implant (see Fig. 2).

**Figure 2:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process showing P buried layer self aligned implant
After removing all oxide a thick epitaxial layer with intrinsic doping is grown on top (see Fig. 3).

**Figure 3:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process after growth of the EPI-layer.
After that a twin well process is used to fabricate the N-well of the PMOS and the collector of the NPN device. Again, the wafer is capped with a nitride layer which is opened at the N+ regions.

**Figure 5.2-4:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process showing EPI-layer and masking for N-well implant.
After implanting the N-type dopant a thick oxide is grown and the nitride is stripped from the P+ regions. The subsequent P-well implant is self-aligned to the well edge (see Fig. 5).

**Figure 5:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process showing self-aligned P-well implant.
After the wells are fabricated the whole wafer is planarized and a pad oxide is grown. The oxide is capped with a thick nitride. After patterning the active regions of the device, an etch step is used to open up the field isolation regions. Prior to field oxidation, a blanket channel stop is implanted (see Fig. 6).

**Figure 6:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process showing channel stop
Oxidation is used to fabricate a thick field oxide. After removal of the nitride masks from the active regions, phosphorus is implanted into the $N$-well of the collector region to implant the deep $N^+$ subcollector (see Fig. 7). The PMOS and NMOS devices are protected by the photoresist.

**Figure 7:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process showing deep $N^+$ subcollector implant.
For the fabrication of the intrinsic base for the bipolar device, the base region is opened and the base implant is performed. To ensure low base-emitter capacitance a thicker gate oxide is deposited after the base implant. The deposited oxide has to be removed from the non base regions by an etch step. The structure after the intrinsic base implant and prior to the base oxide deposition is shown in Figure 8.

**Figure 8:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process showing the intrinsic base implant.
We proceed with the resist strip and perform a pre-gate oxide etch to clean the oxide surface. The active emitter window is patterned and opened up with an etching process until the whole gate oxide is removed in the emitter region. Then a polysilicon layer is deposited, which forms the emitter contact as well as the gate polysilicon layers. This polysilicon layer is implanted with arsenic which will diffuse out from the polysilicon layer at the final source-drain anneal to form the emitter junction (see Fig. 9).

**Figure 9:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process showing the fabrication of the polysilicon emitter.
The polysilicon layer is patterned to define the CMOS gates and the bipolar emitter. After emitter formation, all subsequent process steps are well known from CMOS technology. Phosphorus is implanted to form a shallow lightly doped drain (LDD) region for the NMOS device (see Fig 10). The subcollector is opened to collect additional N-type doping.

**Figure 10:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process before the NMOS LDD doping is implanted.
Finally, the fabrication of the active regions is finished by the source-drain anneal, which is optimized for outdiffusion conditions of the bipolar device. The final device structure including the active area doping is shown in Figure 13. Afterwards the structure is scheduled for a double-level interconnect process.

**Figure 13:** Device cross-section of BiCMOS process after fabrication of the active
BiCMOS has been widely used in many applications like

- Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) circuits
- Wireless Communication equipments like Transceivers, Amplifiers, Oscillators etc
- System-on-Chip Technology
- Personal Internet Access Devices
- Set-top boxes
- And many mixed signal applications
UNIT-II

VLSI DESIGN STYLES
Introduction to Device Fabrication

Lithography & Etching

Ion Implantation

Oxidation

Annealing & Diffusion

Modern Semiconductor Devices for Integrated Circuits (C. Hu)
Summary—A Device Fabrication Example

1. Oxidation
2. Lithography
3. Etching
4. Arsenic implantation
5. Annealing & Diffusion
6. Al Sputtering
7. Lithography
8. Resist
9. Al
10. SiO$_2$
11. N$^-$
Summary—A Device Fabrication Example

Modern Semiconductor Devices for Integrated Circuits (C. Hu)
Noise Margin
CMOS inverter noise margins

![Diagram of CMOS inverter noise margins with labels for different voltage levels and operational regions.](image-url)
What is the impact of altering the PMOS width in comparison to the NMOS width on the DC char?

If we increase (decrease) the width of PMOS compared to NMOS → for the same input voltage, a higher (lower) output voltage is obtained.
Impact of *skewing* transistor sizes on inverter noise margins

- Increasing (decreasing) PMOS width to NMOS width increases (decreases) the low noise margin and decreases (increases) the high noise margin.

**FIG 2.26** Transfer characteristics of skewed inverters

- Increasing (decreasing) PMOS width to NMOS width increases (decreases) the low noise margin and decreases (increases) the high noise margin.
Pass transistor DC characteristics

As the source can rise to within a threshold voltage of the gate, the output of several transistors in series is no more degraded than that of a single transistor.
Pass Transistors

- We have assumed source is grounded
- What if source > 0?
  - e.g. pass transistor passing $V_{DD}$
Pass Transistors

- We have assumed source is grounded
- What if source > 0?
  - e.g. pass transistor passing $V_{DD}$
  - $V_g = V_{DD}$
    - If $V_s > V_{DD} - V_t$, $V_{gs} < V_t$
    - Hence transistor would turn itself off
- nMOS pass transistors pull no higher than $V_{DD} - V_{tn}$
  - Called a degraded “1”
  - Approach degraded value slowly (low $I_{ds}$)
- pMOS pass transistors pull no lower than $V_{tp}$
Pass Transistor Ckts

\[ V_{DD} \rightarrow V_{DD} \rightarrow V_{SS} \rightarrow V_{DD} \]

\[ V_{DD} \rightarrow V_{DD} \rightarrow V_{DD} \]

\[ V_{DD} \rightarrow V_{DD} \]

\[ V_{DD} \]
Pass Transistor Ckts

\[ V_s = V_{DD} - V_{tn} \]

\[ V_s = |V_{tp}| \]

\[ V_{SS} \]
Effective Resistance

- Shockley models have limited value
  - Not accurate enough for modern transistors
  - Too complicated for much hand analysis
- Simplification: treat transistor as resistor
  - Replace $I_{ds}(V_{ds}, V_{gs})$ with effective resistance $R$
    - $I_{ds} = \frac{V_{ds}}{R}$
  - $R$ averaged across switching of digital gate
- Too inaccurate to predict current at any given time
  - But good enough to predict RC delay
RC Delay Model

- Use equivalent circuits for MOS transistors
  - Ideal switch + capacitance and ON resistance
  - Unit nMOS has resistance $R$, capacitance $C$
  - Unit pMOS has resistance $2R$, capacitance $C$
- Capacitance proportional to width
- Resistance inversely proportional to width
RC Values

- Capacitance
  - \( C = C_g = C_s = C_d = 2 \text{ fF/mm of gate width} \)
  - Values similar across many processes

- Resistance
  - \( R \approx 6 \text{ KW*mm in 0.6um process} \)
  - Improves with shorter channel lengths

- Unit transistors
  - May refer to minimum contacted device (4/2 l)
  - Or maybe 1 mm wide device
  - Doesn’t matter as long as you are consistent
Estimate the delay of a fanout-of-1 inverter
CMOS Inverter

$V_{DD}$

$PMOS$

$NMOS$

$In$

$Out$

$V_{DD}$

$GND$

$N$ Well

$PMOS$

$Contacts$

$2\lambda$

$Polysilicon$

$NMOS$

$GND$
CMOS Inverter: Transient Response

\[ t_{PHL} = f(R_{on} \cdot C_L) = 0.69 \cdot R_{on} \cdot C_L \]

(a) Low-to-high

(b) High-to-low
Delay Definitions

$V_{in}$

$V_{out}$

$V_{in}$

$V_{out}$

$t_pHL$

$t_pLH$

$50\%$

$90\%$

$10\%$

$50\%$

$t_f$

$t_r$
DC Operation:

Voltage Transfer Characteristic

\[ \frac{dV_o}{dV_i} = -1 \]

Switching Logic Threshold

Nominal Voltage Levels

[Diagram showing voltage transfer characteristic with \( V_{OH} \), \( V_{OL} \), \( V_{IL} \), \( V_{IH} \), and \( V_L \).]
CMOS Inverter Load Characteristics

\[ V_{\text{in}} = 0 \]

\[ V_{\text{in}} = 1 \]

\[ V_{\text{in}} = 2 \]

\[ V_{\text{in}} = 3 \]

\[ V_{\text{in}} = 4 \]

\[ V_{\text{in}} = 5 \]
Computing the Capacitances

Simplified Model

Fanout

Interconnect
“A capacitor experiencing identical but opposite voltage swings at both its terminals can be replaced by a capacitor to ground, whose value is two times the original value.”
## Computing the Capacitances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capacitor</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{gd1}$</td>
<td>2 CGD0 $W_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{gd2}$</td>
<td>2 CGD0 $W_p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{db1}$</td>
<td>$K_{eqn} (AD_n CJ + PD_n CJSW)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{db2}$</td>
<td>$K_{eqp} (AD_p CJ + PD_p CJSW)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{g3}$</td>
<td>$C_{ox} W_n L_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{g4}$</td>
<td>$C_{ox} W_p L_p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_w$</td>
<td>From Extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_L$</td>
<td>$\Sigma$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impact of Rise Time on Delay

\[ t_{pHL} = \sqrt{t_{pHL,\text{step}}^2 + (t_{\text{rise}}/2)^2} \]
Delay as a function of $V_{DD}$
NMOS/PMOS ratio

\[ \beta = \frac{W_p}{W_n} \]

\[ b = W_p / W_n \]
Design for Performance

- Keep capacitances small
- Increase transistor sizes
  - watch out for self-loading!
- Increase $V_{DD}$ (????)
Impact of Rise Time on Delay

\[ t_{pHL} = \sqrt{t_{pHL(\text{Step})}^2 + (t_r/2)^2} \]
UNIT-III
VLSI Physical Design
Basics of Design rules

- Design processes are always associated with certain concepts like stick diagrams and symbolic diagrams.

- But the key element is a set of design rules which forms the communication link between the designer (specifying requirements) and the fabricator (who materializes them).

- Design rules are used to produce workable mask layouts from which the various layers in silicon will be formed or patterned.

- Among the design rules Lambda-based rules are important. They are straightforward and relatively simple to apply.
Basics of Design rules

- However, they are 'real' and chips can be fabricated from mask layouts using the lambda-based rule set.

- Correct and faster designs will be realized if a fabricator's line is used to its full advantage.

- Such rule sets are needed not only to the fabricator but also to a specific technology.
MOS LAYERS: MOS design is aimed at turning a specification into masks for processing silicon to meet the specification.

We have seen that MOS circuits are formed on four basic layers—n-diffusion, p-diffusion, polysilicon, and metal, which are isolated from one another by thick or thin (thinox) silicon dioxide insulating layers.

The thin oxide (thinox) mask region includes n-diffusion, p-diffusion, and transistor channels. Polysilicon and thinox regions interact so that a transistor is formed where they cross one another.

In some processes, there may be a second metal layer and also, in some processes, a second polysilicon layer.

Layers may deliberately joined together where contacts are formed.
Stick Diagrams

- VLSI design aims to translate circuit concepts onto silicon.
- A stick diagram is a diagrammatic representation of a chip layout.
- Stick diagrams convey layer information through colour codes (or monochrome encoding).
- Acts as an interface between symbolic circuit and the actual layout.
- Used by CAD packages, including Microwind.
- For example, in the case of nMOS design, green color is used for n-diffusion, red for polysilicon, blue for metal, yellow for implant, and black.
Stick Diagrams

- Does show all components/vias.
- It shows relative placement of components.
- Goes one step closer to the layout
- Helps plan the layout and routing

- Does **not** show
  - Exact placement of components
  - Transistor sizes
  - Wire lengths, wire widths, tub boundaries.
  - Any other low level details such as parasitics.
Stick Diagrams – Notations

Similarly for contacts, via, tub etc..

Can also draw in shades of gray/line style.
### Stick Diagrams – Notations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>STICK ENCODING</th>
<th>LAYERS</th>
<th>MASK LAYOUT ENCODING</th>
<th>CIF LAYER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GREEN</td>
<td><img src="green.png" alt="Stick Diagram" /></td>
<td>n-diffusion (n⁺ active) Thinox*</td>
<td><img src="thinox.png" alt="Thinox" /></td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED</td>
<td><img src="red.png" alt="Stick Diagram" /></td>
<td>Polysilicon</td>
<td><img src="polysilicon.png" alt="Polysilicon" /></td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLUE</td>
<td><img src="blue.png" alt="Stick Diagram" /></td>
<td>Metal 1</td>
<td><img src="metal1.png" alt="Metal 1" /></td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLACK</td>
<td><img src="black.png" alt="Stick Diagram" /></td>
<td>Contact cut</td>
<td><img src="contactcut.png" alt="Contact Cut" /></td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAY</td>
<td><img src="gray.png" alt="Stick Diagram" /></td>
<td>Overglass</td>
<td><img src="overglass.png" alt="Overglass" /></td>
<td>NG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nMOS ONLY</td>
<td><img src="nmosonly.png" alt="Stick Diagram" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YELLOW</td>
<td><img src="yellow.png" alt="Stick Diagram" /></td>
<td>Implant</td>
<td><img src="implant.png" alt="Implant" /></td>
<td>Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nMOS ONLY BROWN</td>
<td><img src="nmosonlybrown.png" alt="Stick Diagram" /></td>
<td>Buried contact</td>
<td><img src="buriedcontact.png" alt="Buried Contact" /></td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEATURE</th>
<th>FEATURE (STICK)</th>
<th>FEATURE (SYMBOL)</th>
<th>FEATURE (MASK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n-type enhancement mode transistor</td>
<td><img src="n-type-enhancement.png" alt="Stick Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="n-type-enhancement-symbol.png" alt="Symbol" /></td>
<td><img src="n-type-enhancement-mask.png" alt="Mask" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nMOS only</td>
<td><img src="nmos-only.png" alt="Stick Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="nmos-only-symbol.png" alt="Symbol" /></td>
<td><img src="nmos-only-mask.png" alt="Mask" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source, drain and gate labelling will not normally be shown.

**Figure 1: NMOS encodings**
Stick Diagrams – Notations

Figure 2: CMOS encodings
Rule 1.

When two or more ‘sticks’ of the same type cross or touch each other that represents electrical contact.
Rule 2.
When two or more ‘sticks’ of different type cross or touch each other there is no electrical contact. (If electrical contact is needed we have to show the connection explicitly).
Stick Diagrams – Some rules

Rule 3. When a poly crosses diffusion it represents a transistor.

Note: If a contact is shown then it is *not* a transistor.
Rule 4.

In CMOS a demarcation line is drawn to avoid touching of p-diff with n-diff. All pMOS must lie on one side of the line and all nMOS will have to be on the other side.
nMOS Design Style:

- To understand the design rules for nMOS design style, let us consider a single metal, single polysilicon nMOS technology. The layout of nMOS is based on the following important features.
  - n-diffusion [n-diff.] and other thin oxide regions [thinox] (green);
  - polysilicon 1 [poly.] - since there is only one polysilicon layer here (red);
  - metal 1 [metal] - since we use only one metal layer here (blue);
  - implant (yellow);
  - contacts (black or brown [buried]). A transistor is formed wherever poly. crosses n-diff. (red over green) and all diffusion wires (interconnections) are n-type (green).
When starting a layout, the first step normally taken is to draw the metal (blue) VDD and GND rails in parallel allowing enough space between them for the other circuit elements which will be required.

Next, thinox (green) paths may be drawn between the rails for inverters and inverter-based logic as shown in Fig. below.

Inverters and inverter-based logic comprise a pull-up structure, usually a depletion mode transistor, connected from the output point to VDD and a pull-down structure of enhancement mode transistors suitably interconnected between the output point and GND.

This is illustrated in the Fig.(b). remembering that poly. (red) crosses thinox (green) wherever transistors are required.

One should consider the implants (yellow) for depletion mode transistors and also consider the length to width (L : W) ratio for each transistor. These ratios are important particularly in nMOS and nMOS-like circuits.
Figure 4: nMOS depletion load inverter
Stick Diagrams
• The CMOS design rules are almost similar and extensions of n-MOS design rules except the implant (yellow) and the buried contact (brown).

 In CMOS design Yellow is used to identify ptransistors and wires, as depletion mode devices are not utilized.

The two types of transistors 'n' and 'p', are separated by the demarcation line (representing the p-well boundary) above which all p-type devices are placed (transistors and wires (yellow). The n-devices (green) are consequently placed below the demarcation line.
CMOS Design Style

- Diffusion paths must not cross the demarcation line and n-diffusion and p-diffusion wires must not join.

- The 'n' and 'p' features are normally joined by metal where a connection is needed. Their geometry will appear when the stick diagram is translated to a mask layout.

- However, one must not forget to place crosses on VDD and Vss rails to represent the substrate and p-well connection respectively.

- The design begins with the drawing of the VDD and Vss rails in parallel and in metal and the creation of an (imaginary) demarcation line in-between, as shown in Fig. below.

- The n-transistors are then placed below this line and thus close to Vss, while p-transistors are placed above the line and below VDD.
How to draw Stick Diagrams

NOR gate in CMOS
Example: \( f = (A \cdot B) + C \)
Lambda Based Design Rules

- Design rules based on single parameter, $\lambda$
- Simple for the designer
- Wide acceptance
- Provide feature size independent way of setting out mask
- If design rules are obeyed, masks will produce working circuits
- Minimum feature size is defined as $2 \lambda$
- Used to preserve topological features on a chip
- Prevents shorting, opens, contacts from slipping out of area to be contacted
The design rules are formed to translate the circuit design concepts, (usually in stick diagram or symbolic form) into actual geometry in silicon.

The design rules are the effective interface between the circuit/system designer and the fabrication engineer.

The design rules also help to provide a reliable compromise between the circuit/system designer and the fabrication engineer.

In general the circuit designers expect smaller layouts for improved performance and decreased silicon area.

On the other hand, the process engineer likes those design rules that result in a controllable and reproducible process.

In fact there is a need of compromise for a competitive circuit to be produced at a reasonable cost.
Manufacturing processes have inherent limitations in accuracy and repeatability

Design rules specify geometry of masks that provide reasonable yield

Design rules are determined by experience
Problems - Manufacturing

- Photoresist shrinking / tearing
- Variations in material deposition
- Variations in temperature
- Variations in oxide thickness
- Impurities
- Variations between lots
- Variations across the wafer
Problems - Manufacturing

- Variations in threshold voltage
  - oxide thickness
  - ion implantation
  - poly variations
- Diffusion - changes in doping (variation in R, C)
- Poly, metal variations in height and width -> variation in R, C
- Shorts and opens
- Via may not be cut all the way through
- Undersize via has too much resistance
- Oversize via may short
Lambda-based Design Rules:

- Design rules include width rules and spacing rules.
- Mead and Conway developed a set of simplified scalable $\lambda$-based design rules, which are valid for a range of fabrication technologies.
- In these rules, the minimum feature size of a technology is characterized as $2\lambda$.
- All width and spacing rules are specified in terms of the parameter $\lambda$.
- Suppose we have design rules that call for a minimum width of $2\lambda$, and a minimum spacing of $3\lambda$.
- If we select a 2 um technology (i.e., $\lambda = 1$ um), the above rules are translated to a minimum width of 2 um and a minimum spacing of 3 um.
- On the other hand, if a 1 um technology (i.e., $\lambda = 0.5$ um) is selected, then the same width and spacing rules are now specified as 1 um and 1.5 um, respectively.
Lambda-based Design Rules

Where no separation is specified, wires may overlap or cross (e.g., metal is not constrained by any other layer). For p-well CMOS, note that n-diffusion wires can only exist inside and p-diffusion wires outside the p-well.

*Note: Many fabrication houses now accept $2\lambda$ diffusion to diffusion separation and $2\lambda$ metal 1 width and separation.

Figure 10: Design rules for the diffusion layers and metal layers
Lambda-based Design Rules

Figure 11: Design rules for transistors and gate over hang distance
While making contacts between poly-silicon and diffusion in Nmos circuits it should be remembered that there are three possible approaches—

- poly. to metal then metal to diff., or a buried contact
- poly. to diff.,
- a butting contact (poly. to diff. using metal).

Among the three the latter two, the buried contact is the most widely used, because of advantage in space and a reliable contact.

At one time butting contacts were widely used, but now a days they are superseded by buried contacts.

In CMOS designs, poly. to diff. contacts are always made via metal.
Lambda-based Design Rules

1. Metal 1 to polysilicon or to diffusion

- $3\lambda$ minimum
- $2\lambda \times 2\lambda$ cut centered on $4\lambda \times 4\lambda$ superimposed areas of layers to be joined in all cases

2. Via (contact from metal 2 to metal 1 and thence to other layers)

- $2\lambda$ minimum separation (if other spacings allow)
- $4\lambda \times 4\lambda$ area of overlap with $2\lambda \times 2\lambda$ via at center
- Via and cut used to connect metal 2 to diffusion
Lambda-based Design Rules

- Special case when used in pull-up transistors for nMOS (implant not shown)
- Unrelated polysilicon or diffusion
- Obey separation rule
- Channel length
- 2. Butting contact
- Special case e.g. pull-up transistor in nMOS (implant not shown)
- Butting contact shown without metal lid for clarity
Double metal MOS process rules

- In the MOS design rules a powerful design process is achieved by adding a second metal layer.
- This gives a much greater degree of freedom, in distributing global VDD and Vss(GND) rails in a system.
- From the overall chip inter-connection aspect, the second metal layer in particular is connection to other layers using metal1 to metal 2 contacts, called vias.
- Similarly Poly 2 present along with Poly1.
- The important features of double metal process are summarized as follows:
  - Use the second level metal for the global distribution of power buses, that is, VDD and GND (Vss), and for clock lines.
  - Use the first level metal for local distribution of power and for signal lines.
The CMOS fabrication process is more complex than nMOS fabrication.

The additional rules are concerned with those features unique to p-well CMOS, such as the p-well and p+ mask and the special 'substrate' contacts.
In the diagram above each of the arrangements can be merged into single split contacts.
From the above diagram it is also clear that split contacts may also be made with separate cuts.
NAND sticks

VDD

a

b

VSS

out
Stick Diagram - Example

NOR gate in CMOS
Example: $f = (A \cdot B) + C$
VLSI Interconnects

- Introduction
- Wire Resistance
- Wire Capacitance
- Wire RC Delay
- Crosstalk
- Wire Engineering
- Repeaters
Introduction

- Chips are mostly made of wires called *interconnect*.
- Alternating layers run orthogonally.
Wires are as important as transistors

- Speed
- Power
- Noise
Wire Geometry

- Pitch = \( w + s \)
- Aspect ratio: \( AR = \frac{t}{w} \)
  - Old processes had \( AR \ll 1 \)
  - Modern processes have \( AR \approx 2 \)
    - Pack in many skinny wires
Wire Resistance

- $\rho = \text{resistivity (}\Omega \text{m)}$

$$R = \frac{\rho}{t} \frac{l}{w} = R_w \frac{l}{w}$$

- $R_{\Box} = \text{sheet resistance (}\Omega/\Box)$
  - $\Box$ is a dimensionless unit(!)

- Count number of squares
  - $R = R_{\Box} \times (\text{# of squares})$

1 Rectangular Block
$$R = R_w (L/W) \Omega$$

4 Rectangular Blocks
$$R = R_w (2L/2W) \Omega = R_w (L/W) \Omega$$
Choice of Metals

- Until 180 nm generation, most wires were aluminum
- Modern processes use copper
  - Cu atoms diffuse into silicon and damage FETs
  - Must be surrounded by a diffusion barrier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Bulk resistivity (μΩ*cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silver (Ag)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Cu)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold (Au)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum (Al)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tungsten (W)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum (Mo)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Sheet Resistance

- **Typical sheet resistances in 180 nm process**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Sheet Resistance ($\Omega/\square$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion (silicided)</td>
<td>3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion (no silicide)</td>
<td>50-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysilicon (silicided)</td>
<td>3-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysilicon (no silicide)</td>
<td>50-400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wire has capacitance per unit length

- To neighbors
- To layers above and below

\[ C_{\text{total}} = C_{\text{top}} + C_{\text{bot}} + 2C_{\text{adj}} \]
Capacitance Trends

- Parallel plate equation: \( C = \frac{eA}{d} \)
  - Wires are not parallel plates, but obey trends
  - Increasing area \((W, t)\) increases capacitance
  - Increasing distance \((s, h)\) decreases capacitance
- Dielectric constant
  - \( e = ke_0 \)
  - \( e_0 = 8.85 \times 10^{-14} \text{ F/cm} \)
  - \( k = 3.9 \) for SiO\(_2\)
- Processes are starting to use low-\( k \) dielectrics
  - \( k \approx 3 \) (or less) as dielectrics use air pockets
Lumped Element Models

- Wires are a distributed system
  - Approximate with lumped element models

- 3-segment $\pi$-model is accurate to 3% in simulation
RC Example

- Metal2 wire in 180 nm process
  - 5 mm long
  - 0.32 mm wide
- Construct a 3-segment p-model
  - \( R_{\square} = 0.05 \text{ W/\square} \) \( \Rightarrow R = 781 \text{ W} \)
  - \( C_{\text{permicron}} = 0.2 \text{ fF/mm} \) \( \Rightarrow C = 1 \text{ pF} \)
\[ T_{90\%} = 1.0R_{int}C_{int} + 2.3(R_{tr}C_{int} + R_{tr}C_{L} + R_{int}C_{L}) \]
\[ \approx (2.3R_{tr} + R_{int})C_{int} \quad \text{for} \quad C_{L} \ll C_{int}. \]

\[ T_{50\%} = 0.4R_{int}C_{int} + 0.7(R_{tr}C_{int} + R_{tr}C_{L} + R_{int}C_{L}) \]
\[ \approx (0.7R_{tr} + 0.4R_{int})C_{int} \quad \text{for} \quad C_{L} \ll C_{int}. \]
Crosstalk

- A capacitor does not like to change its voltage instantaneously.
- A wire has high capacitance to its neighbor.
  - When the neighbor switches from 1→0 or 0→1, the wire tends to switch too.
  - Called capacitive coupling or crosstalk.
- Crosstalk effects
  - Noise on non-switching wires
  - Increased delay on switching wires
**Miller Effect**

- Assume layers above and below on average are quiet
  - Second terminal of capacitor can be ignored
  - Model as $C_{gnd} = C_{top} + C_{bot}$
- Effective $C_{adj}$ depends on behavior of neighbors
  - *Miller effect*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>$\Delta V$</th>
<th>$C_{eff(A)}$</th>
<th>MCF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>$V_{DD}$</td>
<td>$C_{gnd} + C_{adj}$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching with A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$C_{gnd}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching opposite A</td>
<td>$2V_{DD}$</td>
<td>$C_{gnd} + 2C_{adj}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diagram:**

- The diagram shows a simple circuit with two nodes, A and B, connected through a grounded capacitor and another capacitor $C_{adj}$.
- The delay and power increase are indicated by labels and annotations on the diagram.
Crosstalk Noise

- Crosstalk causes noise on non-switching wires
- If victim is floating:
  - model as capacitive voltage divider

\[ \Delta V_{\text{victim}} = \frac{C_{\text{adj}}}{C_{\text{gnd-v}} + C_{\text{adj}}} \Delta V_{\text{aggressor}} \]
Driven Victims

- Usually victim is driven by a gate that fights noise
  - Noise depends on relative resistances
  - **Victim** driver is in linear region, **agg.** in saturation
  - If sizes are same, $R_{\text{aggressor}} = 2-4 \times R_{\text{victim}}$

\[
\Delta V_{\text{victim}} = \frac{C_{\text{adj}}}{C_{\text{gnd-v}} + C_{\text{adj}}} \frac{1}{1 + k} \Delta V_{\text{aggressor}}
\]

\[
k = \frac{\tau_{\text{aggressor}}}{\tau_{\text{victim}}} = \frac{R_{\text{aggressor}}}{R_{\text{victim}}} \frac{C_{\text{gnd-a}} + C_{\text{adj}}}{C_{\text{gnd-v}} + C_{\text{adj}}}
\]
Noise Implications

- So what if we have noise?
- If the noise is less than the noise margin, nothing happens
- Static CMOS logic will eventually settle to correct output even if disturbed by large noise spikes
  - But glitches cause extra delay
  - Also cause extra power from false transitions
- Dynamic logic never recovers from glitches
- Memories and other sensitive circuits also can produce the wrong answer
Repeaters

- R and C are proportional to $l$
- RC delay is proportional to $l^2$
  - Unacceptably large for long wires
- Break long wires into N shorter segments
  - Drive each one with an inverter or buffer
Reliability issues in CMOS VLSI

![Diagram showing the relationship between failures and time, with stages of infant mortality, random failures, and wear-out.](image)
Latchin
ELECTRO MIGRATION

(a) and (b) illustrate the process of electro migration in a multilayer structure.

- In (a), the structure consists of三层 oxide layers separated by a metal layer.
- In (b), a void appears in the metal layer due to the concentration of high current density.

Region of high current density

Void
UNIT IV
LOGIC DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES
Switch Model of NMOS Transistor

Source (of carriers)  |  Drain (of carriers)

Open (off) (Gate = ‘0’)  \[ | V_{GS} | < | V_T | \]

Closed (on) (Gate = ‘1’)  \[ | V_{GS} | > | V_T | \]
Switch Model of PMOS Transistor

\[ |V_{GS}| > |V_{DD} - |V_T|| \quad \text{(Open (off) (Gate = \textquoteleft 1\textquoteright))} \]

\[ |V_{GS}| < |V_{DD} - |V_T|| \quad \text{(Closed (on) (Gate = \textquoteleft 0\textquoteright))} \]
Capacitors

- Composed of two conductive plates separated by an insulator (or dielectric).
  - Commonly illustrated as two parallel metal plates separated by a distance, \( d \).

  - \( C = \frac{e A}{d} \)
  - where \( e = \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 \)
  - \( \varepsilon_r \) is the relative dielectric constant
  - \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the vacuum permittivity
CMOS Capacitors

- There are 3 common ways to make a capacitor
  1) MOS Capacitor:- simply create a MOS structure where the Gate (Metal) terminal is one terminal and the Body (Semiconductor) terminal is Ground - while this is easy to implement, the capacitance changes with the bias voltage (i.e., VG) due to the depletion and inversion which occurs
MIM Capacitor

"Metal Insulator Metal"-this is simply a parallel plate capacitor using two metals and an insulator.

This type of capacitor is created using an extra process step that puts in an additional metal layer that can be very close to one of the other Metal layers to get a smaller plate-to-plate separation.

Since the plates are made of metal, the capacitance doesn't change with bias voltage-these capacitors are not as large as MOS capacitors.
Interpoly and MOS capacitors in an n-well CMOS process.
Topics

• Logic gates and other complex gates
• Switch logic
• Alternate gate circuits
• Time delays
• Driving large capacitive loads
• Wiring capacitances
• Fan-in and fan-out, Choice of layers
NMOS Gate construction

- NMOS devices in series implement a NAND function

\[ A \cdot B \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- NMOS devices in parallel implement a NOR function

\[ A + B \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PMOS Gate construction

• PMOS devices in parallel implement a NAND function

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
A & B & F \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

• PMOS devices in series implement a NOR function

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
A & B & F \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]
Parasitics and Performance

• Consider the following layout:

• What is the impact on performance of parasitics
  – At point a (VDD rail)?
  – At point b (input)?
  – At Point c (output)?
Parasitics and Performance

• a - power supply connections
  - capacitance - no effect on delay
  - resistance - increases delay
    • minimize by reducing diffusion length
    • minimize using parallel vias
Parasitics and Performance

- c - gate output
  - resistance, capacitance increase delay
  - Resistance & capacitance "near" to output causes additional delay
Driving Large Loads

- Off-chip loads, long wires, etc. have high capacitance
- Increasing transistor size increases driving ability (and speed), but in turn increases gate capacitance
- Solution: stages of progressively larger transistors
  - Use nopt = ln(Cbig/Cg).
  - Scale by a factor of a=e
Switch Logic

- Key idea: use transistors as switches
- Concern: switches are bidirectional
Switch Logic - Pass Transistors

• Use n-transistor as “switches”
• “Threshold problem”
  – Transistor switches off when $V_{gs} < V_t$
  – $V_{DD}$ input -> $V_{DD}$-$V_t$ output
• Special gate needed to “restore” values
Switch Logic - Transmission Gates

- Complementary transistors - n and p
- No threshold problem
- Cost: extra transistor, extra control input
- Not a perfect conductor!

A'

A'

A
Switch Logic Example - 2-1 MUX
Charge Sharing

- Consider transmission gates in series
  - Each node has parasitic capacitances
  - Problems occur when inputs change to redistribute charge
  - Solution: design network so there is always a path from VDD or Gnd to output.
Transmission Gates

- Transmission Gates work with analog values, too!
- Example: Voltage-Scaling D/A Converter
NMOS Logic

• Used before CMOS was widely available
• Uses only n transistors
  – Normal n transistors in pull-down network
  – depletion-mode n transistor \( (V_t < 0) \) used for pull-up
  – "ratioed logic" required
• Tradeoffs:
  – Simpler processing
  – Smaller gates
  – higher power!
  – Additional design considerations for ratioed logic
Pseudo-nMOS Logic

- Same idea, as nmos, but use p-transistor for pullup
- "ratioed logic" required for proper design (more about this next)
- Tradeoffs:
  - Fewer transistors -> smaller gates, esp. for large number of inputs
  - less capacitive load on gates that drive inputs
  - larger power consumption
  - less noise margin (VOL > 0)
  - additional design considerations due to ratioed logic
Rationed Logic for Pseudo-nmos

- **Approach:**
  - Assume $V_{OUT} = V_{OL} = 0.25 \times V_{DD}$
  - Assume 1 pulldown transistor is on
  - Equate currents in $p$, $n$ transistors
  - Solve for ratio between sizes of $p$, $n$ transistors to get these conditions
  - Further calculations necessary for series connections

\[
I_{dn} = I_{pn}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} k' \frac{W_n}{L_n} (V_{gs,n} - V_{tn})^2 = \frac{1}{2} k' \frac{W_p}{L_p} \left[ 2 (V_{gs,p} - V_{tp}) V_{ds,p} - V_{ds,p}^2 \right] \quad (EQ \ 3 - 21)
\]
\[
\frac{W_p}{L_p} = 3.9 \quad (EQ \ 3 - 22) - \text{Assuming } V_{DD} = 3.3V
\]
DCVS Logic

- DCVS - Differential Cascode Voltage Switch
- Differential inputs, outputs
- Two pulldown networks
- Tradeoffs
  - Lower capacitive loading than static CMOS
  - No ratioed logic needed
  - Low static power consumption
  - More transistors
  - More signals to route between gates
Dynamic Logic

- Key idea: Two-step operation
  - precharge - charge CS to logic high
  - evaluate - conditionally discharge CS
- Control - precharge clock

![Diagram of dynamic logic](image)
Domino Logic

- Key idea: dynamic gate + inverter
- Cascaded gates - “monotonically increasing”
Domino Logic Tradeoffs

- Fewer transistors -> smaller gates
- Lower power consumption than pseudo-nmos
- Clocking required
- Logic not complete (AND, OR, but no NOT)
Types of ASICs

- A full-custom IC includes some (possibly all) logic cells that are customized and all mask layers that are customized. A microprocessor is an example of a full-custom IC—designers spend many hours squeezing the most out of every last square micron of microprocessor chip space by hand. It allows designers to include analog circuits, optimized memory cells. Full-custom ICs are the most expensive to manufacture and to design. The manufacturing lead time (the time it takes just to make an IC—not including design time) is typically eight weeks for a full-custom IC.

- Semicustom ASICs, in which all of the logic cells are predesigned and some (possibly all) of the mask layers are customized. Using predesigned cells from a cell library makes our lives as designers much, much easier. There are two types of semicustom ASICs:
  - standard-cell–based ASICs & gate-array–based ASICs.
  - programmable ASICs, in which all of the logic cells are predesigned and none of the mask layers are customized. There are two types of programmable ASICs: the programmable logic device and, the newest member of the ASIC family, the field-programmable gate array.
In a full-custom ASIC an engineer designs some or all of the logic cells, circuits, or layout specifically for one ASIC. This means the designer abandons the approach of using pretested and precharacterized cells for all or part of that design.

It makes sense to take this approach only if there are no suitable existing cell libraries available that can be used for the entire design. This might be because existing cell libraries are not fast enough, or the logic cells are not small enough or consume too much power.

You may need to use full-custom design if the ASIC technology is new or so specialized that there are no existing cell libraries or because the ASIC is so specialized that some circuits must be custom designed.

Fewer and fewer full-custom ICs are being designed because of the problems with these special parts of the ASIC. There is one growing member of this family, though, the mixed analog/digital ASIC.
The optimum cell layout for each process generation changes because the design rules for each ASIC vendor’s process are always slightly different—even for the same generation of technology. For example, two companies may have very similar 0.35 m CMOS process technologies, but the third-level metal spacing might be slightly different.

If a cell library is to be used with both processes, we could construct the library by adopting the most stringent rules from each process.

A library constructed in this fashion may not be competitive with one that is constructed specifically for each process.

Even though ASIC vendors prize their design rules as secret, it turns out that they are similar—except for a few details. Unfortunately, it is the details that stop us moving designs from one process to another. Unless we are a very large customer it is difficult to have an ASIC vendor change or waive design rules for us. We would like all vendors to agree on a common set of design rules.

This is, in fact, easier than it sounds. The reason that most vendors have similar rules is because most vendors use the same manufacturing equipment and a similar process. It is possible to construct a highest common denominator library that extracts the most from the current manufacturing capability.

Some library companies and the large Japanese ASIC vendors are adopting this approach.
• Each standard cell in a library is rectangular with the same height but different widths. The bounding box (BB) of a logic cell is the smallest rectangle that encloses all of the geometry of the cell. The cell BB is normally determined by the well layers.

• Cell connectors or terminals (the logical connectors) must be placed on the cell abutment box (AB). The physical connector (the piece of metal to which we connect wires) must normally overlap the abutment box slightly, usually by at least 1 l, to assure connection without leaving a tiny space between the ends of two wires.

• The standard cells are constructed so they can all be placed next to each other horizontally with the cell ABs touching (we abut two cells). When a library developer creates a gate-array, standard-cell, or datapath library, there is a trade-off between using wide, high-drive transistors that result in large cells with high-speed performance and using smaller transistors that result in smaller cells that consume less power.

• A performance-optimized library with large cells might be used for ASICs in a high-performance workstation, for example. An area-optimized library might be used in an ASIC for a battery-powered portable computer.
Each logic cell or macro in a gate-array library is predesigned using fixed tiles of transistors known as the gate-array base cell (or just base cell). We call the arrangement of base cells across a whole chip in a complete gate array the gate-array base.

ASIC vendors offer a selection of bases, with a different total numbers of transistors on each base. For example, if our ASIC design uses 48k equivalent gates and the ASIC vendor offers gate arrays bases with 50k-, 75k-, and 100k-gates, we will probably have to use the 75k-gate base it is unlikely 96 percent of the transistors on the 50k-gate base).

We isolate the transistors on a gate array from one another either with thick field oxide (in the case of oxide-isolated gate arrays) or by using other transistors that are wired permanently off (in gate-isolated gate arrays). Figure 3.14 (a) shows a base cell for a gate-isolated gate array.

This base cell has two transistors: one p-channel and one n-channel. When these base cells are placed next to each other, the n-diffusion and p-diffusion layers form continuous strips that run across the entire chip broken only at the poly gates that cross at regularly spaced intervals (Figure 3.14b).

The metal interconnect spacing determines the separation of the transistors. The metal spacing is determined by the design rules for the metal and contacts.
• In Figure 3.14 (c) we have shown all possible locations for a contact in the base cell. There is room for 21 contacts in this cell and thus room for 21 interconnect lines running in a horizontal direction (we use m1 running horizontally). We say that there are 21 horizontal tracks in this cell or that the cell is 21 tracks high.

• In a similar fashion the space that we need for a vertical interconnect (m2) is called a vertical track. The horizontal and vertical track widths are not necessarily equal, because the design rules for m1 and m2 are not always equal.

• We isolate logic cells from each other in gate-isolated gate arrays by connecting transistor gates to the supply bus—hence the name, gate isolation.

• If we connect the gate of an n-channel transistor to $V_{SS}$, we isolate the regions of n-diffusion on each side of that transistor (we call this an isolator transistor or device, or just isolator). Similarly if we connect the gate of a p-channel transistor to $V_{DD}$, we isolate adjacent p-diffusion regions.
FIGURE 3.14 The construction of a gate-isolated gate array. (a) The one-track-wide base cell containing one p-channel and one n-channel transistor.
(b) Three base cells: the center base cell is being used to isolate the base cells on either side from each other. (c) A base cell including all possible contact positions (there is room for 21 contacts in the vertical direction, showing the base cell has a height of 21 tracks).
• FIGURE 3.15 An oxide-isolated gate-array base cell. The figure shows two base cells, each containing eight transistors and two well contacts.

• The p-channel Oxide-isolated gate arrays often contain four transistors in the base cell: the two n-channel transistors share an n-diffusion strip and the two p-channel transistors share a p-diffusion strip. This means that the two n-channel transistors in each base cell are electrically connected in series, as are the p-channel transistors.

• The base cells are isolated from each other using oxide isolation. During the fabrication process a layer of the thick field oxide is left in place between each base cell and this separates the p-diffusion and n-diffusion regions of adjacent base cells.
• Figure 3.15 shows an oxide-isolated gate array.

• This cell contains eight transistors (which occupy six vertical tracks) plus one-half of a single track that contains the well contacts and substrate connections that we can consider to be shared by each base cell, and n-channel transistors are each 4 tracks high (corresponding to the width of the transistor).

• The leftmost vertical track of the left base cell includes all 12 possible contact positions (the height of the cell is 12 tracks). As outlined here, the base cell is 7 tracks wide (we could also consider the base cell to be half this width).
• Figure 3.16 shows a base cell in which the gates of the n-channel and p-channel transistors are connected on the polysilicon layer.

• Connecting the gates in poly saves contacts and a metal interconnect in the center of the cell where interconnect is most congested.

• The drawback of the preconnected gates is a loss in flexibility in cell design. Implementing memory and logic based on transmission gates will be less efficient using this type of base cell, for example.
FIGURE 3.16 This oxide-isolated gate-array base cell is 14 tracks high and 4 tracks wide. VDD (tracks 3 and 4) and GND (tracks 11 and 12) are each 2 tracks wide. The metal lines to the left of the cell indicate the 10 horizontal routing tracks (tracks 1, 2, 5–10, 13, 14). Notice that the p-channel and n-channel polysilicon gates are tied together in the center of the cell. The well contacts are short, leaving room for a poly cross-under in each base cell.
Programmable ASICs

There are two types of programmable ASICs: programmable logic devices (PLDs) and field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs).

PLDs started as small devices that could replace a handful of TTL parts, and they have grown to look very much like their younger relations, the FPGAs. A designer can program themselves.

An IC foundry produces FPGAs with some connections missing. You perform design entry and simulation.

Next, special software creates a string of bits describing the extra connections required to make your design—the configuration file. You then connect a computer to the chip and program the chip to make the necessary connections according to the configuration file.

There is no customization of any mask level for an FPGA, allowing the FPGA to be manufactured as a standard part in high volume.

FPGAs are popular with microsystems designers because they fill a gap between TTL and PLD design and modern, complex, and often expensive ASICs. FPGAs are ideal for prototyping systems or for low-volume production.
The programming technology may or may not be permanent. You cannot undo the permanent programming in one-time programmable (OTP) FPGAs. Reprogrammable or erasable devices may be reused many times. Programming technologies:

The Antifuse

The Static RAM

The EPROM & EEPROM

The Xilinx SRAM (static RAM) configuration cell. The outputs of the cross-coupled inverter (configuration control) are connected to the gates of pass transistors or transmission gates. The cell is programmed using the WRITE and DATA lines.
The Static RAM

The Antifuse

The EPROM & EEPROM
ASIC Design Flow

1. Design entry
2. Logic synthesis
3. System partitioning
4. Prelayout simulation
5. Floorplanning
6. Placement
7. Routing
8. Back-annotated netlist
9. Postlayout simulation

Logical design:
- VHDL/Verilog
- Netlist

Physical design:
- Chip
- Block
- Logic cells

Finish
Programmable Logic Device (PLD): 
- Also known as “Field Programmable Logic Device (FPLD)”
- An integrated circuit chip that can be configured by the user to implement different digital hardware.
Purpose of PLDs

- Permits elaborate digital logic designs to be implemented by the user on a single device.
- Is capable of being erased and reprogrammed with a new design.

Advantages of PLDs

- Programmability
- Re-programmability
  - PLDs can be reprogrammed without being removed from the circuit board.
- Low cost of design
- Immediate hardware implementation
Types of PLDs

- SPLDs (Simple Programmable Logic Devices)
  - ROM (Read-Only Memory)
  - PLA (Programmable Logic Array)
  - PAL (Programmable Array Logic)
  - GAL (Generic Array Logic)
- HCPLD (High Capacity Programmable Logic Device)
  - CPLD (Complex Programmable Logic Device)
  - FPGA (Field-Programmable Gate Array)
Types of PLDs (Cont.)

- PLD
  - SPLD
    - ROM
    - PLA
    - PAL
    - GAL
  - HCPLD
    - CPLD
    - FPGA
SPLDs

- In ROM, the input connection matrix is hardwired and the user can only modify the output connection matrix.
- In PAL and GAL the output connection matrix is also hardwired and the user can modify the input connection matrix.
- In PLA the user can modify both the input connection matrix and the output connection matrix.
HCPLDs

- CPLD (Complex Programmable Logic Device)
  - Lies between PALs and FPGAs in degree of complexity.
  - Inexpensive

- FPGA (Field-Programmable Gate Array)
  - Truly parallel design and operation
  - Fast turnaround design
  - Array of logic cells surrounded by programmable I/O blocks
FPGA Design
PLD Configuration

- Combination of a logic device and memory
- Memory stores the pattern the PLD was programmed with
  - EPROM
    - Non-volatile and reprogrammable
  - EEPROM
    - Non-volatile and reprogrammable
  - Static RAM (SRAM)
    - Volatile memory
  - Flash memory
    - Non-volatile memory
  - Antifuse
    - Non-volatile and no re-programmability
UNIT V
SUB SYSTEM DESIGN
Shift Registers:

- A register consists of a group of flip-flops with a common clock input.
- Registers are commonly used to store and shift binary data.
  - Example: Counters

![4-bit D register]

**Fig. 4-bit D register**
Registers: Types

- Buffer Register.

Fig. 1. 4-bit Buffer register

Fig. 2. 4-bit Controlled buffer register
A shift register is a register in which binary data can be stored, and this data can be shifted to the left or right when a shift signal is applied.
Shift Register: Types

1. Serial In Serial Out Shift Register

2. Serial In Parallel Out Shift Register

3. Parallel In Serial Out Shift Register

4. Parallel In Parallel Out Shift Register
1. Serial In Serial Out Shift Register

Fig. 4-bit serial-in serial-out shift register
1. Serial In Serial Out Shift Register

Fig. 1. JK Flip-flop converted into D-Flip-Flop

Fig. 2. 4-bit serial in serial out shift register using JK Flip-Flop
2. Serial In Parallel Out Shift Register

Fig. 4-bit serial-in parallel-out shift register
3. Parallel In Serial Out Shift Register

![Diagram of Parallel In Serial Out Shift Register]

Figure 1  n-bit Parallel-In Serial-Out Right-Shift Shift Register
4. Parallel In Parallel Out Shift Register

Fig. 4-bit parallel-in parallel-out shift register
A simple one-bit full adder

- It takes A, B, and Cin as input and generates S and Cout in 2 gate delays (SOP)
4-bit RCA

- Work from lowest bit to highest bit sequentially.
- With A0, B0, and C0, the lowest bit adder generates S0 and C1 in 2 gate delay.
- With A1, B1, and C1 ready, the second bit adder generates S1 and C2 in 2 gate delay.
- Each bit adder has to wait for the lower bit adder to propagate the carry.

Carry propagation forms a long sequential wait chain, hence RCA is slow!!
Carry Look Ahead Logic

- Now even before the carry in (Cin) is available, based on the inputs (A,B) only, can we say anything about the carry out?
- Under what condition will the bit propagate an outgoing carry (Cout), if there is an incoming carry (Cin)?
- Under what condition will the bit generate an outgoing carry (Cout), regardless of whether there is an incoming carry (Cin)?
1-bit CLA adder

- Instead of Cout, an 1-bit CLA adder block takes A, B inputs and generates p, g
- p=propagator => I will propagate the Cin to the next bit. \( p = A + B \) (If either A or B is 1, Cin=1 causes Cout=1)
- g=generator => I will generate a Cout independent of what Cin is. \( g = AB \) (If both A and B are 1, Cout=1 for sure)
- p, g are generated in 1 gate delay after we have A, B. Note that Cin is not needed to generate p, g.
- S is generated in 2 gate delay after we get Cin (SOP).
The CLL takes \( p, g \) from all 4 bits and \( C_0 \) as input to generate all \( C \)s in 2 gate delay.

- \( C_1 = g_0 + p_0 C_0 \),
- \( C_2 = g_1 + p_1 g_0 + p_1 p_0 C_0 \),
- \( C_3 = g_2 + p_2 g_1 + p_2 p_1 g_0 + p_2 p_1 p_0 C_0 \),
- \( C_4 = g_3 + p_3 g_2 + p_3 p_2 g_1 + p_3 p_2 p_1 g_0 + p_3 p_2 p_1 p_0 C_0 \) (Note: this \( C_4 \) is too complicated to generate in 2-level SOP representation)
Given A, B’s, all p, g’s are generated in 1 gate delay in parallel.
Given all p, g’s, all C’s are generated in 2 gate delay in parallel.
Given all C’s, all S’s are generated in 2 gate delay in parallel.
Key virtue of CLA: sequential operation in RCA is broken into parallel operation
ALU function table

- This table shows a sample function table for an ALU.
- All of the arithmetic operations have $S_3=0$, and all of the logical operations have $S_3=1$.
- These are the same functions we saw when we built our arithmetic and logic units a few minutes ago.
- Since our ALU only has 4 logical operations, we don’t need $S_2$. The operation done by the logic unit depends only on $S_1$ and $S_0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S_3$</th>
<th>$S_2$</th>
<th>$S_1$</th>
<th>$S_0$</th>
<th>Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$G = X$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$G = X + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$G = X + Y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$G = X + Y + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$G = X + Y'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$G = X + Y' + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$G = X - 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$G = X$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$G = X$ and $Y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$G = X$ or $Y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$G = X \oplus Y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$G = X'$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A complete ALU circuit

The / and 4 on a line indicate that it’s actually four lines.

The arithmetic and logic units share the select inputs S1 and S0, but only the arithmetic unit uses S2.

$C_{out}$ should be ignored when logic operations are performed (when $S3=1$).

$G$ is the final ALU output.

- When $S3 = 0$, the final output comes from the arithmetic unit.
- When $S3 = 1$, the output comes from the logic unit.
Multiplier Design: Basic Building Blocks

- Datapath
- Execution units
- Adder, multiplier, divider, shifter, etc.
- Register file and pipeline registers
- Multiplexers, decoders
- Control
- Finite state machines (PLA, ROM, random logic)
- Interconnect
- Switches, arbiters, buses
- Memory
- Caches (SRAMs), TLBs, DRAMs, buffers
### The Binary Multiplication

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Multiplicand:** 101010
- **Multiplier:** 1011

#### Partial Products:

- $101010$ (shifted one place to the left)
- $000000$ (shifted two places to the left)
- $101010$ (shifted three places to the left)

#### Result:

$111001110$
The Array Multiplier:
Parity Generator / Checker

Transmitting device

Parity generator

Receiving device

Parity checker

(Parity bit)

Error indicator
Four-bit even- and odd-parity generators

Parity bit (even)

Parity bit = 1 (odd)

The number of 1's in the input plus parity is odd.
Comparator

- A comparator is a precision instrument employed to compare the dimension of a given component with a working standard (usually slip gauges).
- It thus does not measure the actual dimension but indicate how much it differs from the basic dimension.
## Magnitude Comparator

### Diagram

The diagram illustrates a 4-bit magnitude comparator with data inputs \(A_3, A_2, A_1, A_0\) and \(B_3, B_2, B_1, B_0\). The comparator includes cascading inputs \(I_A > B\), \(I_A < B\), and \(I_A = B\). The outputs are \(C_{A > B}\), \(C_{A < B}\), and \(C_{A = B}\), with \(A_{A > B}\), \(A_{A < B}\), and \(A_{A = B}\) indicating the comparison results.

### Truth Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparing Inputs</th>
<th>Cascading Inputs</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A_3, B_3)</td>
<td>(I_A &gt; B)</td>
<td>(C_{A &gt; B})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_2, B_2)</td>
<td>(I_A &lt; B)</td>
<td>(C_{A &lt; B})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_1, B_1)</td>
<td>(I_A = B)</td>
<td>(C_{A = B})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_0, B_0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_3 &gt; B_3)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_2 &lt; B_3)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_1 = B_3)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_0 &lt; B_3)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_3 &gt; B_2)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_2 &lt; B_2)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_1 = B_2)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_0 &lt; B_2)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_3 = B_2)</td>
<td>(A_2 &gt; B_2)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_1 &gt; B_1)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_0 &lt; B_1)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_3 = B_1)</td>
<td>(A_2 &gt; B_1)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_1 &gt; B_0)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_0 &lt; B_0)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_3 = B_0)</td>
<td>(A_2 &gt; B_0)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_1 &gt; B_0)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_0 &lt; B_0)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
<td>(X)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **H** = HIGH Voltage Level
- **L** = LOW Voltage Level
- **X** = Immaterial


Ring Counter

CLK | D_1 | D_2 | D_3 | D_4 | D_5 | D_6
---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----
1  | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   
2  | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   
3  | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   
4  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   
5  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   
6  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   
Ring Counter

A ring counter is a synchronous sequential circuit that counts in a ring-like manner. It consists of a chain of D-type flip-flops (or registers) where the output of each flip-flop is connected to the input of the next flip-flop in the sequence. In the diagram, the flip-flops are labeled $Q_3$, $Q_2$, $Q_1$, and $Q_0$, with $Q_3$ being the most significant bit (MSB) and $Q_0$ the least significant bit (LSB). The clock signal, labeled as $CLOCK$, triggers the flip-flops to change their state.

The waveforms below the diagram show the timing of the clock signal and the output of the flip-flops $Q_0$ to $Q_3$. Each clock pulse advances the counter by one state, starting from 0000 (all 0s) to 1111 (all 1s) after eight clock pulses.
Ring Counter

CLK  | D₁  | D₂  | D₃  | D₄  | D₅  | D₆  
---|---|---|---|---|---|---
1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  
2  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  
3  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  
4  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  
5  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  
6  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  

The diagram illustrates the operation of a ring counter with D-type flip-flops connected in a ring configuration. The CLK signal triggers the update of the state. The table shows the state transition for each clock cycle.
Ring Counter

![Ring Counter Diagram]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q_3</th>
<th>Q_2</th>
<th>Q_1</th>
<th>Q_0</th>
<th>CLOCK pulse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c)

![State Diagram]

(d)
Johnson Counter

- Also known as the twisted-ring counter.
- Same as the ring counter except that the inverted output of the last FF is connected to the input of the first FF.
- Counting sequence:

  000 → 100 → 110 → 111 → 011 → 001 → 000
Johnson Counter

(a)

(b)
Sequential Circuits

Inputs

Combinational Logic Gates

Memory Elements (Flip-Flops)

Clock

Outputs
SR Latch
D flip-flop
Read-Only Memory (ROM)

- The inputs are called Address inputs and are traditionally named A0, A1, ...An-1
- The outputs are called Data outputs and are typically named D0, D1, ...Db-1
Logic Diagram of simple 8x4 diode ROM
Internal ROM structure, showing use of control inputs
ROM timing

ADDR: stable \( \geq t_{AA} \) stable \( \max(t_{AA}, t_{ACS}) \)

CS_L: \( t_{ACS} \) \( t_{OH} \)

OE_L: \( t_{AA} \) \( t_{OZ} \) \( t_{OE} \) \( t_{OZ} \) \( t_{OE} \)

DATA: valid valid valid
Each SRAM cell has the same functional behavior in the SRAM circuit.
The storage device in each cell is a D-latch.
SRAM read timing

ADDR
stable stable stable

CS_L

Note: WE_L = HIGH

OE_L

DOUT
valid valid valid

\[ t_{AA} \geq t_{AA} \]

\[ \max(t_{AA}, t_{ACS}) \]

\[ t_{ACS} \]

\[ t_{OH} \]

\[ t_{AA} \]

\[ t_{OZ} \]

\[ t_{OE} \]

\[ t_{OZ} \]

\[ t_{OE} \]
SRAM write timing

(WE-controlled write)

ADDR

stable

$ t_{CSW} $

CS_L

$ t_{AS} \quad t_{CSW} $

WE_L

$ t_{AS} \quad t_{WP} \quad t_{AH} $

$ t_{DS} \quad t_{DH} $

DIN

valid

valid

(CS-controlled write)
THANK YOU